

1999 Singley Lane North Charleston, SC

Grades 6-8 Middle School

Enrollment 523 Students

PrincipalKala Goodwine and843-745-2000SuperintendentDr. Nancy J. McGinley843-937-6319Page of ChairMrs. Taya Harratan Grand843-732-7831

Board Chair Mrs. Toya Hampton Green 843-723-7831

2009 REPORT CARD

RATINGS OVER 5-YEAR PERIOD

YEAR	ABSOLUTE RATING	GROWTH RATING
2009	At-Risk	Below Average
2008	At-Risk	Below Average
2007	At-Risk	Below Average
2006	At-Risk	At-Risk
2005	At-Risk	Below Average

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision
- At-Risk School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2020 SC Performance Vision

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, SC's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE VISION

By 2020 all students will graduate with the knowledge and skills necessary to compete successfully in the global economy, participate in a democratic society and contribute positively as members of families and communities.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.eoc.sc.gov

Percent of Student PASS Records Matched for Purpose of Computing Growth Rating


Percent of students tested in 2008-09 whose 2007-08 test scores were located

96.6%

A	ABSOLUTE RATII	NGS OF MI	DDLE SCHOOLS	WITH STUDENTS L	IKE OURS*
		i .	i i	i i	i

	00 0			
Excellent	Good	Average	Below Average	At-Risk
0	0	3	35	24

^{*} Ratings are calculated with data available by 06/01/2010.



^{*} Middle schools with Students Like Ours are middle schools with poverty indices of no more than 5% above or below the index for the school.

Definition of 0	Critical Terms
Exemplary	"Exemplary" means the student demonstrated exemplary performance in meeting the grade level standard.
Met	"Met" means the student met the grade level standard.
Not Met	"Not Met" means that the student did not meet the grade level standard.

End of Course Tests

Percent of tests with scores of 70 or above on:	Our Middle School	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	90.9%	85.9%
English 1	N/A	82.2%
Physical Science	N/A	33.8%
US History and the Constitution	N/A	N/A
All Subjects	90.9%	84.1%

School Profile				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n=523)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	8.4%	Down from 12.0%	16.1%	21.6%
Retention rate	6.0%	Down from 10.5%	2.3%	1.2%
Attendance rate	92.0%	Up from 90.3%	95.5%	95.9%
Eligible for gifted and talented	0.0%	Down from 3.5%	4.1%	14.8%
With disabilities other than speech	17.1%	Up from 15.7%	14.1%	12.6%
Older than usual for grade	7.9%	Down from 13.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent and/or criminal offenses	16.3%	Up from 14.5%	0.4%	0.6%
Annual dropout rate	1.1%	Up from 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n=79)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.6%	Up from 48.7%	54.3%	56.9%
Continuing contract teachers	62.0%	Up from 55.1%	61.5%	72.7%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	14.1%	Down from 20.0%	15.4%	5.3%
Teachers returning from previous year	73.0%	Up from 67.8%	76.0%	82.9%
Teacher attendance rate	93.3%	Up from 93.2%	95.0%	95.2%
Average teacher salary*	\$45,017	Up 5.9%	\$44,687	\$46,599
Professional development days/teacher	6.4 days	Down from 8.1 days	11.0 days	10.8 days
School				
Principal's years at school	3.0	Up from 2.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	13.3 to 1	Down from 14.3 to 1	16.5 to 1	20.1 to 1
Prime instructional time	84.2%	Up from 83.5%	89.3%	89.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Good	No Change	Good	Good
SACS accreditation	No	No Change	Yes	Yes
Parents attending conferences	68.6%	Up from 37.9%	96.4%	97.8%
Character development program	Good	Down from Excellent	Excellent	Good
Dollars spent per pupil**	\$7,677	Up 2.2%	\$10,078	\$7,645
Percent of expenditures for instruction**	60.3%	Down from 64.9%	60.3%	63.4%
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries**	54.1%	Down from 59.2%	54.1%	57.0%

^{*} Includes current year teachers contracted for 185 or more days.

^{**} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

Morningside Middle 06/01/10-1001062

Report of Principal and School Improvement Council

Morningside Middle School (MMS) continued to make systemic progress in 2008-2009. Our accomplishments are both academic and behavioral. We are very pleased that one-fourth of our students have shown significant growth on the Measures of Academic Progress (MAP) in Math and ELA. Our overall student attendance has increased to 94% due to the programs we have put in place such as our student intervention committee (CORE team) and Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS). We have made great strides in promoting school / community relations by building a strong School Improvement Council (SIC). As a result of the council's diligent work and its commitment to fostering parent and community involvement, we were awarded South Carolina's prestigious Riley award for 2009. The award is named after former SC governor and US Secretary of Education, Richard Riley and his late wife, Tunky Riley. It is awarded annually to only one school in the entire state.

We are about to embark on our biggest campaign yet as part of our commitment to student growth. MMS is becoming a single gender school with the building split between the male and female academies. There is much research to support the single gender model, especially at the middle school level. We will be implementing research-based and best practice strategies founded on brain research.

As a part of both the male and female academies at Morningside, we will continue with the Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS) initiative, which began in 2006. It is a school-wide program that teaches students how to replace negative behaviors with positive actions. PBIS has been an important part of increasing our attendance rate as well as decreasing negative behaviors. We will continue to live by our PBIS motto of "Be Ready. Be Responsible. Be Respectful." We will also continue our collaboration with Johns Hopkins University Talent Development Middle Grades Program which provides our teachers professional development in the implementation of reading strategies best suited for struggling readers.

While we continue to strive for academic success, MMS also works to develop the whole child. Each student is linked to an adult staff member as part of an advisory program entitled BRIDGES wherein students work on character traits, study skills, organization, and building relationships. We promote leadership as our students are tomorrow's leaders. We offer over 20 clubs and activities. The Student Leadership Council, composed of all club presidents, meets with the principal monthly as an advisory group to the principal and to learn ways to become better leaders. Once again, we are holding to the belief that there must be systemic change, and for the third year, our student council has taken on many activities from serving as ushers at school functions to collecting canned goods for the food bank. Our growing pride also remains with our athletic program, which boasts championships in football and basketball. We encourage a well-rounded athletic program that promotes leadership and healthy competition because at MMS, we "Run with the Best."

Kala T. Goodwine, Principal Sherrell Brown, School Improvement Council Chairperson

Evaluations by Teachers, Students and Parents								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	N/A	N/A	N/A					
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	N/A	N/A	N/A					

^{*} Only students at the highest middle school grade level and their parents were included.

No Child Left Behind

School Adequate Yearly Progress

NO

This school met 9 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included student performance, graduation rate or student attendance, and participation in the state testing program.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for "All Students" and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency in the areas of English/Language Arts and Mathematics, as well as meeting the statewide target for "All Students" for attendance or graduation rate.

School Improvement Status

R

School	Improvement Key
NI	Newly Identified-The school missed adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two years. Sanction: Offer school choice.
CSI	Continuing School Improvement-The school missed AYP for three years. Sanctions: Continue school choice and implement supplemental services.
CA	Corrective Action-The school missed AYP for four years. Sanction: Continue school choice and supplemental services. The school district takes a corrective action.
RP	Plan to Restructure-Sanctions: Continue school choice and supplemental services. Develop a plan to restructure. If the school misses AYP the next year, the school implements the restructuring plan.
R	Restructure-The school missed AYP after two years of corrective action. Sanctions: Implement the restructuring plan. Continue school choice and supplemental services.
DELAY	The school met AYP in all subgroups and the indicator for one year, thus the delay provision applies. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Delay."
HOLD	The school made progress for one year in the subject area that identified the school for school improvement. The school remains in the same status as last year and is referred to as in "Hold."

Teacher Quality and Student Attendance		
	Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	2.5%	1.7%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers	7.5%	5.8%

	Our School	State Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	1.9%	0.0%	No
Student attendance rate	92.0%	94.0%*	Yes

^{*} Or greater than last year

Morningside Middle 06/01/10-1001062										
PASS Performance B	y Group									
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary*	District % Met or Exemplary*	State % Met or Exemplary*	Performance Objective Met	Participation Objective Met
English/Lang	uage Arl	s - Stat	e Perfor	mance	Objectiv	/e = 58.	8% (Me	t or Exe	mplary)	
All Students	409	100	55.3	34.9	9.8	56.5	84.9	82.8	No	Yes
Gender										
Male	224	100	59.8	33.2	7.1	51.1	81.8	79.3	N/A	N/A
Female	185	100	50.3	36.8	12.9	62.6	88.1	86.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	32	100	42.9	32.1	25	67.9	95.8	89.5	I/S	I/S
Africian American	340	100	56.7	35.4	7.9	55.7	74.8	73.7	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	96.4	92.3	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	36	100	55.6	33.3	11.1	51.9	76.3	76.5	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	94	82.5	I/S	I/S
Disability Status		400	75.0	40		04.5	50.5			
Disabled	71	100	75.9	19	5.2	34.5	53.5	52	No	Yes
Migrant Status		N1/43./	11/4	11/4	21/4	11/4	110	00.4	21/4	N// A
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	66.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	0.4	400	50	00	40	40	75.0	75.4	110	110
Limited English Proficient	34	100	56	32	12	48	75.6	75.1	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status	204	400	F0	04.0	0.0	55.7	74.0	75.5	N	
Subsized meals	364	100	56	34.2	9.8	55.7	74.9	75.5	No	Yes
Mathema	atics - S	tate Per	forman	ce Obje	ctive = 5	57.8% (I	Met or E	xempla	ry)	
All Students	409	99.5	62	34	4	51.3	81	78.9	No	Yes
Gender										
Male	224	99.1	62.5	34.2	3.3	48.9	79.3	77	N/A	N/A
Female	185	100	61.3	33.7	4.9	54	82.8	80.9	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	32	100	53.6	39.3	7.1	71.4	94.6	87.2	I/S	I/S
Africian American	340	99.4	64.6	31.3	4.1	47.8	67.9	66.7	No	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	94.6	93	I/S	I/S
Hispanic	36	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	66.7	76.7	76	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	92	79.5	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	74	07.0	70.7	05.0	0.4	00.0	40.0	45.5	N	
Disabled	71	97.2	70.7	25.9	3.4	32.8	46.9	45.5	No	Yes
Migrant Status	NI/A	NI/AV	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	1/0	75.7	NI/A	NI/A
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	75.7	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency	24	100	NI/AV/	NI/AV	NI/AV/	64	77.4	76.4	I/O	1/0
Limited English Proficient	34	100	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	64	77.1	76.1	I/S	I/S
Socio-Economic Status	204	00.7	60.7	22.0	4.4	E0.0	60.0	70.0	NI-	Ve-
Subsized meals	364	99.7	62.7	33.2	4.1	50.9	69.2	70.2	No	Yes

^{*} Adjusted to account for natural variation in performance.

Morningside Middle 06/01/10-1001062								
PASS Performance By	Group							
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary
			Scien	ce				
All Students	272	99.6	57.1	40.3	2.5	42.9	68.9	67.5
Gender								
Male	143	99.3	57.9	38.8	3.3	42.1	68.2	67
Female	129	100	56.4	41.9	1.7	43.6	69.6	68
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	21	95.2	40	50	10	60	90.4	79.5
Africian American	227	100	58.2	40.3	1.5	41.8	48.1	50.3
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	87.2	84.3
Hispanic	24	100	64.7	29.4	5.9	35.3	58.6	60.7
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	84.4	71.2
Disability Status	- 10		-1.1	***		***	***	
Disabled	46	97.8	71.1	26.3	2.6	28.9	36.6	35.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	46.1
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	24	100	64.7	29.4	5.9	35.3	58.3	59.6
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsized meals	239	100	59.8	37.9	2.3	40.2	50.2	55.1
			Social St	tudies				
All Students	275	99.6	38.5	41.6	19.9	61.5	76.8	72.3
Gender								
Male	155	99.4	38.1	41.3	20.6	61.9	75.3	71.5
Female	120	100	39	41.9	19	61	78.4	73.2
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	20	100	23.5	41.2	35.3	76.5	91.5	80.7
Africian American	230	99.6	41.7	40.6	17.7	58.3	62.7	60
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	89.5	88.5
Hispanic	24	100	23.8	47.6	28.6	76.2	71.4	68
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	90.9	72.2
Disability Status								
Disabled	49	98	64.9	24.3	10.8	35.1	46.6	43.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	50.7
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	22	100	26.3	47.4	26.3	73.7	71.6	67.9
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsized meals	246	100	39.7	40.2	20.1	60.3	64	62.1

Morningside Middle 06/01/10-1001062											
PASS Performance By Group											
	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	School % Met or Exemplary	District % Met or Exemplary	State % Met or Exemplary	School Attendance Rate	District Attendance Rate	
Writing											
All Students	412	97.1	60.2	31.4	8.4	39.8	74.1	70.2	92	96	
Gender											
Male	228	96.9	65.6	29	5.5	34.4	67.8	63.2	91.7	95.9	
Female	184	97.3	54	34.2	11.8	46	80.6	77.5	92.5	96.1	
Racial/Ethnic Group											
White	37	94.6	63.3	26.7	10	36.7	90.4	79.1	87.5	95.9	
Africian American	337	97.9	59.5	32.2	8.3	40.5	59.2	57.6	92.1	96	
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	89.9	86.2	98.9	97	
Hispanic	37	94.6	64	28	8	36	61.1	62.6	95.7	96.3	
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	84	68.7	N/A	95.8	
Disability Status											
Disabled	73	87.7	N/AV	N/AV	N/AV	10.6	29.6	26.1	91	95	
Migrant Status											
Migrant	N/A	N/AV	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	54.7	N/A	97.4	
English Proficiency											
Limited English Proficient	34	97.1	66.7	25	8.3	33.3	60.2	61.2	95.8	96.5	
Socio-Economic Status											
Subsized meals	362	97	60.8	31.2	8	39.2	59.1	58.9	92	95.7	

Morni	ingside Midd	le				06/01	/10-1001062			
PASS	S Performano	e By Grade L	evel .							
	Grade	Enrollment 1st Day of Testing	% Tested	% Not Met	% Met	% Exemplary	% Met or Exemplary			
English/Language Arts										
2009	3 4 5 6 7 8	N/A N/A N/A 136 140	N/AV N/AV N/AV 100 100	N/A N/A N/A 56.5 50.4 60	N/A N/A N/A 36.3 38.2 29	N/A N/A N/A 7.3 11.4	N/A N/A N/A 43.5 49.6 40			
			M	lathematics						
2009	3 4 5 6 7 8	N/A N/A N/A 136 140	N/AV N/AV N/AV 100 98.6 100	N/A N/A N/A 56.5 53.7 79	N/A N/A N/A 40.3 41.5	N/A N/A N/A 3.2 4.9	N/A N/A N/A 43.5 46.3 21			
Science										
2009	3 4 5 6 7 8	N/A N/A N/A 73 135 64	N/AV N/AV N/AV 98.6 100	N/A N/A N/A 67.2 45.8 N/AV	N/A N/A N/A 29.9 50.8 N/AV	N/A N/A N/A 3 3.3 N/AV	N/A N/A N/A 32.8 54.2 29.4			
			Sc	cial Studies						
2009	3 4 5 6 7 8	N/A N/A N/A 65 140 70	N/AV N/AV N/AV 100 99.3 100	N/A N/A N/A 32.8 34.7 55.1	N/A N/A N/A 56.9 36.3 36.7	N/A N/A N/A 10.3 29 8.2	N/A N/A N/A 67.2 65.3 44.9			
				Writing						
2009	3 4 5 6	N/A N/A N/A 142	N/AV N/AV N/AV 95.8	N/A N/A N/A 65.9	N/A N/A N/A 28.5	N/A N/A N/A 5.7	N/A N/A N/A 34.1			
	7	136	97.1	58.3	29.2	12.5	41.7			

58.3

55.4

29.2

37.6

12.5

6.9

41.7

44.6

136

134

97.1

98.5